BEST AVAILABLE COPY





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,305	07/13/2001	Maximilian Luttrell	PV01-108-US1	6355
25209 7	08/19/2005		EXAMINER HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
PACKETVIE 4820 EASTGA	DEO CORPORATION	RECEIVED OIPE/IAP		
SAN DIEGO,		OIPE/IAP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		NCT 2 0 2005	2136	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



HN.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tredemark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dm. 1490 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/905,305	07/13/2001	Maximilian Luttrell	PV01-108-US1	6355	
25209	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
PACKETVIDEO CORPORATION 4820 EASTGATE MALL			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
			2136	<u></u>	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED MAR 2 2 2005

Technology Center 2100

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
, ,	09/905,305	LUTTRELL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Brandon S Hoffman	2136						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by slatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•						
· - · ·	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E								
Disposition of Claims		!						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
	r							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
)							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
•		4.0						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attrohemonato	•							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/905,305

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP §608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-11</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Isnardi</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,687,384) in view of <u>Thompson, Jr. et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,978,483).

Regarding <u>daim 1</u>, <u>Isnardi</u> teaches a method for access control of a standard compliant multimedia bitstream comprising:

- Selectively extracting codewords from the bitstream (col. 7, lines 31-67 and fig.
 4);
- Encrypting the extracted codewords (col. 8, lines 1-15 and fig. 5); and

Art Unit: 2136

 Mapping the encrypted codewords back into the bitstream (col. 8, lines 16-67 and fig. 6),

Wherein the resulting encrypted bitstream is a secure bitstream accessible by
users with a proper key (col. 9, lines 1-46 and fig. 7), and has a number of
codewords equal to the number of codewords in the bitstream prior to encryption
to maintain standard compliance (col. 4, lines 15-20).

Isnardi does not specifically teach encryption with the use of keys, but data hiding and watermarking instead.

Thompson, Jr. et al. teaches encryption with keys (col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine encryption with keys, as taught by <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u>, with the method of <u>Isnardi</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because Isnardi discusses using either encryption (for preventive purposes) or watermarking (for identifying purposes). Both methods are used for defensive purposes (see col. 1, lines 22-32 of Isnardi). Thompson, Jr. et al. further elaborates on the encryption method.

Regarding <u>claim 2</u>, the combination of <u>Isnardi</u> in view of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the bitstream has an associated standard defined code table (see

Art Unit: 2136

Table III and Table V of Thompson, Jr. et al.), the codewords each have an assigned fixed length index and the step of encrypting comprises: concatenating the extracted codewords to form a codeword concatenation C; mapping the concatenated codewords to indices in the code table; concatenating the mapped indices to provide a binary bit string S; encrypting the binary bit string S with a chosen secure cipher to provide an encrypted binary bit string S¹; and mapping S¹ to codewords in the code table to form an encrypted codeword concatenation C¹ (see fig. 2 and col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 2 and col. 5, line 54 through col. 6, line 32 of Thompson, Jr. et al.). Isnardi teaches MPEG data, which is known to have codewords. Isnardi alone extracts portions of the codewords for data hiding. The combination with Thompson, Jr. et al. would encrypt the codewords.

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, the combination of <u>Isnardi</u> in view of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the bitstream has a syntax comprising multiple information fields, and the step of selectively extracting codewords comprises extracting variable length codewords from a particular information field (see col. 7, lines 36-49 of Isnardi).

Regarding <u>claim 4</u>, the combination of <u>Isnardi</u> in view of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the <u>Litstream</u> is an MPEG-4 error resilient, data partitioned bitstream, and the step of selectively extracting codewords comprises extracting motion vector information from the bitstream (see col. 7, lines 36-49 of Isnardi).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, the combination of <u>Isnardi</u> in view of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the bitstream is an MPEG-4 video bitstream, and the step of selectively extracting codewords comprises extracting fixed length coded texture information (see col. 4, lines 3-4 of Isnardi, FLC is used in MPEG standards as discussed by Isnardi).

Regarding claim 6, Thompson, Jr. et al. teaches a compliance preserving encryption method for a concatenated sequence of variable length code (VLC) codewords, the method comprising: mapping each VLC codeword to a fixed length index in a code table to obtain a concatenation of fixed length indices; encrypting the concatenation of fixed length indices with a chosen cipher; and mapping the encrypted concatenation of indices to VLC codewords in the code table to obtain an encrypted concatenation of VLC codewords (fig. 2 and col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 2 and col. 5, line 54 through col. 6, line 32).

Thompson, Jr. et al. does not teach wherein the number of codewords in the concatenated sequence remains unchanged before and after encryption such that syntax compliance is maintained.

Isnardi teaches wherein the number of codewords in the concatenated sequence remains unchanged before and after encryption such that syntax compliance is maintained (col. 4, lines 15-20).

Art Unit: 2136

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the number of codewords in the concatenated sequence remains unchanged before and after encryption such that syntax compliance is maintained, as taught by <u>Isnardi</u>, with the method of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because maintaining the correct number of codewords after encryption provides a secure stream that is still MPEG compliant (see col. 4, lines 15-20 of Isnardi). This means a standard MPEG decoder can act upon the stream. Isnardi teaches MPEG data, which is known to have codewords. Isnardi alone extracts portions of the codewords for data hiding. The combination with Thompson, Jr. et al. would encrypt the codewords.

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Isnardi</u> teaches a system for access control of a standardized multimedia partitioned bitstream comprising:

- An encoder capable of scrambling header information in the partitioned bitstream and remapping the scrambled information into a standard compliant header partition resulting in an encrypted bitstream (col. 8, lines 1-67 and fig. 5/6); and
- A decoder having a decryption key capable of recovering proper header information (col. 9, lines 1-46 and fig. 7),
- Wherein the bitstream can be transmitted over an error prone channel and recovered for correct interpretation by an authorized end user (col. 4, lines 15-20).

Art Unit: 2136

Isnardi does not specifically teach scrambling with the use of keys, but data hiding instead.

Thompson, Jr. et al. teaches scrambling with keys (col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine scrambling with keys, as taught by Thompson. Jr. et al., with the method of Isnardi. It would have been obvious for such modifications because Isnardi discusses using either encryption (for preventive purposes) or watermarking (for identifying purposes). Both methods are used for defensive purposes (see col. 1, lines 22-32 of Isnardi). Thompson, Jr. et al. further elaborates on the encryption method.

Regarding <u>claim 8</u>, <u>Isnardi</u> teaches a method for access control of a multimedia bitstream, the method comprising steps of:

- Accessing a portion of the bitstream to extract selective information (col. 7, lines 31-67 and fig. 4);
- Scrambling the extracted selective information to provide encrypted codewords
 (col. 8, lines 1-15 and fig. 5); and
- Mapping the encrypted codewords back into the portion of the bitstream (col. 8, lines 16-67 and fig. 6),

Art Unit: 2136

 Wherein the resulting bitstream is a secure bitstream accessible by users with a proper key (col. 9, lines 1-46 and fig. 7).

<u>Isnardi</u> does not specifically teach scrambling with the use of a key, but data hiding instead.

Thompson, Jr. et al. teaches scrambling with the use of a key (col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine scrambling with the use of a key, as taught by Thompson, Jr. et al., with the method of Isnardi. It would have been obvious for such modifications because Isnardi discusses using either encryption (for preventive purposes) or watermarking (for identifying purposes). Both methods are used for defensive purposes (see col. 1, lines 22-32 of Isnardi). Thompson, Jr. et al. further elaborates on the encryption method.

Regarding <u>claim 9</u>, the combination of <u>Isnardi</u> in view of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the bitstream is a standardized data partitioned bitstream, and wherein the step of accessing comprises accessing a header portion of the bitstream having coding type information and motion vector information (MV codewords), and extracting the MV codewords (see col. 7, lines 36-49 of Isnardi).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Isnardi in view of Thompson, Jr. et al. teaches wherein the scrambling step comprises: mapping the extracted MV codewords to indices of a standard MV code table for the bitstream; concatenating the mapped indices to provide a binary bit string S; encrypting the binary bit string S to provide an encrypted binary bit string S'; dividing the encrypted binary bit string S' into equal length segments; using the equal length codewords to index the standard MV code table to construct a sequence of new MV codewords; and entropy encoding the new MV codewords (see fig. 2 and col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 2 and col. 5, line 54 through col. 6, line 32 of Thompson, Jr. et al.). Isnardi teaches MPEG data, which is known to have Motion Vector codewords. Isnardi alone extracts portions of the codewords for data hiding. The combination with Thompson, Jr. et al. would encrypt the codewords.

Regarding <u>claim 11</u>, the combination of <u>Isnardi</u> in view of <u>Thompson</u>, <u>Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the bitstream is an MPEG-4 video bitstream and wherein the extracted selective information is fixed length code (FLC) texture information (see col. 4, lines 3-4 of Isnardi, FLC is used in MPEG standards as discussed by Isnardi).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 2136

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BH

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Application/Control No. Reexamination 09/905,305 LUTTRELL ET AL. Notice of References Cited Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1 2136 Brandon S Hoffman U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS **Document Number** Date Classification Name MM-YYYY Country Code-Number-Kind Code 382/100 US-6,687,384 02-2004 Isnardi, Michael A. Α 380/262 US-5,978,483 11-1999 Thompson et al. В US-C US-O US-Ε F US-US-G Н US-USj I US-J US-Κ US-Ļ М US-FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Document Number Classification Country Name Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY N O Ρ Q R S T NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) U ٧ W Х

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY formst are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

で大い

Organization Bldg./Room_
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS **ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** P.O. BOX 1450 COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RECEIVED MAR 2 2 2005 Technology Center 2100



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Organization _ P.O. Box 1450 Bldg./Room

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Official Business
Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

PRIVATE U.S. POSTAGE

9212111977-20 C013

NS ADD SEC

RETURN, TO REDUCH

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:			
☐ BLACK BORDERS			
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES			
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING			
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING			
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES			
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS			
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT			
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY			

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.